Kaiser Permanente Cybersecurity Project - Team Meeting Summary:

**Participants:** Danae O’Connor, Noah Warren, Debra Parcheta

**When:** 1:00pm to 2:00pm on 2/19/2024

**Where:** <https://ucdenver.zoom.us/j/9681646314> Meeting ID: [968 164 6314](tel:9681646314)

**Summary:**

The meeting started with Noah showcasing and explaining his work with the static tooltip’s closure mechanism – including how it was styled and how it functioned in the code. In addition, Noah discovered/rediscovered that by using the inspect tool items like fonts and sizes can be changed in order to test a placement, font, or size before actual modification begins.

Since discussion was held over slack regarding the functionality of the text-wrapping only a small discussion was held on it. Primarily that it works by splitting the words and that splitting by number of characters can be a bigger hassle than intended. After that, Noah went over a few small bugs that are currently appearing on his version of the code that isn’t currently appearing on Danae’s: sometimes the text wrapping will put out 5 lines of text rather than the intended 4 lines of text, and that the text seems to be occasionally splitting on words. This could be due to card width sizing or font, so it is important to note for future reference.

Following those discussions Danae went over her progress of committing a fixed width to be a maximum of 105px with no padding to make sure there would be no overlapping in the busiest view currently known. In addition, there was a brief overview on how the card heights have changed (now 85px) to accommodate the Technique ID field on the card preview as well as providing spacing between the card stacks and the axis bar.

After the showcase of the new code implementations, a discussion was held on what was needed to do before the client meeting on 2-21 – only stitching the code versions together – and what needs to be done before user testing. For the user testing preparations, it has been determined that the UI and UX checklists need to be reviewed alongside the instructions for user clarity. However, there items such as the user’s knowledge/current ability with Splunk Enterprise and what items should be prioritized or refined are currently in question. In addition, the last bit of User Testing preparations is finding the items needed to send it to Splunkbase.

**Action Items:**

Debra: Require feedback on items regarding user testing (Due 2-23): What items need to be done before user testing begins, the starting point of our intended users (whether they have Splunk or not), and other details regarding our users or how user testing will be conducted in order to inform our formats for user materials.

Noah: Upload current version with the working tooltip closure and text-wrapping into GitHub for Danae to stitch (Due 2-19). Review the UI checklist, the UX checklist, and User Instructions to prepare for user testing (Due 2-23).

Danae: Stitch together the current code versions into one code file and upload to GitHub (Due 2-20). Apply the small formatting changes to the timeline view if there is time. Review the UI checklist, the UX checklist, and User Instructions to prepare for user testing (Due 2-23). Double check what is needed to send the application to Splunkbase (Due 2-22).